

MODEL INVESTIGATION OF MOLD LEVEL MONITORING WITH THERMOCOUPLES

Tim Morthland & Brian G. Thomas

Department of Mechanical &. Industrial Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

October 15, 2002



Objectives

- Investigate the potential use of mold thermocouples to detect level fluctuations.
 - Develop 3D transient FEM model
 - Validate with 2D models
 - Validate with plant TC measurements (Columbus Stainless Steel)
 - Simulate TC response to controlled level fluctuations
 - Simulate TC response to oscillation mark movement









Material Properties

Material	Thermal	Specific Heat	Density
	Conductivity (W/m	(J/kg-K)	(kg/m^3)
	<u>°C)</u>		
Copper (Cu-Ag-0.1P)	364. (Columbus	386. (Smithels)	8960. (Smithels)
	blueprint)		
1026 Steel (shell)	24.6	640.	7200.
Steel (cold support)	50.	500.	7860.
Constantan	216. (Columbus)	416.	8900. (Goodfellow)
(for K-	(195. Goodfellow)	(weighted avg of	
thermocouples)		55%Cu and	
		45%Ni)	
		(410. – Marks p4-	
		9)	
TC conducting Paste	0.9 (Columbus	2800. (Marks p4-9	2100. (Columbus
	supplier)	wax)	supplier)
Air	0.028	1040. (at 300 K	1.2
	(0.026 @300K –	Marks)	(at STP – Marks p.
	Liquid Air website)		4-17)



Site	<u>Values</u>
Water slots	$h = 0.045 \text{ W/mm}^2 \text{ °C}, T_{\infty} = 30^{\circ} \text{C}$
Heat flux	$q'' = 1.75 \text{ W/mm}^2$ (constant case)

copper plate thickness: TCs located (NF) 43 mm (wide face) 20mm below hotface (WF)

38 mm (narrow face) 15 mm below hotface

Bolt diameter (16mm with 2mm threads = 20mm total on WF) Bolt diameter (12mm with 2 mm threads = 16mm total on NF)

Steel grade: 44101 ferritic stainless steel, (prone to clogging and bulging)

Casting speed: 1.04 m/min Strand Width: 1290 mm

GID3 wide face simulation



Finite Element Mesh



8241 nodes and 38887 tetrahedrons



Heat Flux profile (calibrated)







Temperature profiles



Casting Model Validation: compare 2D and 3D calcs



Model Validation: with Columbus instrumented Casting Consortium mold (design 2)



Legend: BOPS • New T's \bigcirc

Effect of TC - Cu plate contact condition









Controled Level Fluctuation: 10mm for 1s





Controled Level Fluctuation: 10mm for 1s





Controled Level Fluctuation: 10mm for 3s



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign • Metals Processing Simulation Lab • Morthland & Thomas 17



Controled Level Fluctuation: 10mm for 3s





Controled Level Fluctuation: 2mm for 1s





University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign • Metals Processing Simulation Lab • Morthland & Thomas 20



Severe Oscillation marks passing mold bottom



Alternate between: 1.7 MW/m² for 10s 0.2 MW/m² for 2s

Severe Oscillation marks passing Consortium mold bottom



University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign • Metals Processing Simulation Lab • Morthland & Thomas 22





- Computed TC signals match measurements well
- TC signals drop with imperfect contact to mold Cu
- Thermocouples near meniscus (both above and below) are able to detect major level fluctuations
- Small level fluctuations produce very minor changes in TC readings and tinyones (2mm for 1s) are not detectible
- TCs near to hot face can detect minor level fluctuations better
- TC temperature fluctuations lower in mold of 10-20 deg C indicate severe heat flux variations (eg. due to flux layer breakage and alternating air gaps)