

CCC Report October 15, 2002

New Computational Resources and Stress Model Validation

Seid Koric

Engineering Applications Analyst National Center for Supercomputing Applications Mechanical and Industrial Engineering University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign skoric@ncsa.uiuc.edu



Objectives

- A pioneer attempt to predict the coupled evolution of temperature, shape, stress and strain distribution in the solidifying shell in continuous casting mold by using commercial multipurpose finite element package
- The recent increase in computational speed and capabilities of commercial finite element software make this task feasible and desirable.
- Will validate the model with available analytical solution and then add more complexity to the model from real plant measurements and finally compare and benchmark the results with in-house code
- ABAQUS[™] claims that it can solve the most challenging nonlinear problems. Will check this statement by applying Abaqus to our complex phenomena.
- Even Though ABAQUS offers the user a wide range of capabilities, it is relatively simple to use, it has imbedded pre and post processing tools, and a rich library of 2D and 3D elements. Other modelers in this field can largely benefit from this work, including our final customers the steel industry



Slab Casting Phenomena



Billet Solidit

- Initial solidification occurs at the meniscus and is responsible for the surface quality of the final product.
- The shell shrinks away from the mold due to thermal contraction and a gap is formed between the mold and the strand.
- At inner side of the strand shell the ferrostatic pressure linearly increasing with the height is present.
- The mold taper has the task to compensate the shell shrinkage yielding good contact between strand shell and mold wall.
- Many other phenomena are present due to complex interactions between thermal and mechanical stresses and micro structural effects. Some of them are still not fully understood.

3



Program Validation and Preliminary Results 1D Solidification Stress Problem

- Analytical Solution exists (Weiner & Boley 1963)
- 1D FE Domain used for validation
- Generalized plane strain both in y and z direction to give 3D stress/strain state
- Yield stress linearly drops with temp. from 20Mpa @ 1000C to 0.07Mpa @ Solidus Temp 1494.35C
- Tested both internal PLASTIC Abaqus procedure and a special high-creep function to emulate Elastic-Prefect Plastic material behavior



Heat Transfer 1D FE Domain



Heat Transfer Equation:

$$\rho\left(\frac{\partial H(T)}{\partial T}\right)\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(k(T)\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(k(T)\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right)$$

Equilibrium Equations 2D:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta \sigma_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Delta \tau_{xy}}{\partial y} = \Delta F_x$$
$$\frac{\partial \Delta \sigma_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Delta \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} = \Delta F_y$$
$$\int \Delta \sigma_z dA = \Delta F_z$$
$$\int x \Delta \sigma_z dA = \Delta M_x$$
$$\int y \Delta \sigma_z dA = \Delta M_y$$



More Equations:

Constitutive Equations:

$$\{\Delta\sigma\} = [D]\{\Delta\varepsilon_e\} + [\Delta D]\{\varepsilon_e\}$$

$$\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}\} = \left\{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{x} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{y} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z} \quad \boldsymbol{\tau}_{xy}\right\}^{T}$$
$$\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\} = \left\{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{x} \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{y} \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{z} \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{xy}\right\}^{T}$$

$$[D] = \frac{E(T)}{(1+\nu)(1-2\nu)} \begin{bmatrix} 1-\nu & \nu & 0 & \nu \\ \nu & 1-\nu & 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1-2\nu}{2} & 0 \\ \nu & \nu & 0 & 1-\nu \end{bmatrix}$$

Generalized Plane Strain

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{x} = \frac{\partial \Delta u_{x}}{\partial x}$$
$$\Delta \varepsilon_{y} = \frac{\partial \Delta u_{y}}{\partial y}$$
$$\Delta \varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Delta u_{y}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \Delta u_{x}}{\partial y} \right)$$
$$\Delta \varepsilon_{z} = a + bx + cy$$

Finite Elements Implementations

$$\begin{bmatrix} K \end{bmatrix} \{T\} + \begin{bmatrix} C \end{bmatrix} \{\dot{T}\} = \{Q\}$$

$$[K]{\Delta u} = {\Delta F_{\varepsilon_{th}}} + {\Delta F_{\varepsilon_{pl}}} + {F_{fp}} + {F_{el}}$$

Incremental Total Strain

$$\left\{\Delta \mathcal{E}\right\} = \left\{\Delta \mathcal{E}_{e}\right\} + \left\{\Delta \mathcal{E}_{th}\right\} + \left\{\Delta \mathcal{E}_{pl}\right\}$$



Constants Used in B&W Analytical and Abaqus Numerical Solutions

Conductivity	[W/mK]	33.
Specific Heat	[J/kg/K]	661.
Elastic Modulus in Solid	[Gpa]	40.
Elastic Modulus in Liq.	[Gpa]	14.
Thermal Linear Exp.	[1/k]	2.E-4
Density	[kg/m ³]	7500.
Poisson's Ratio	0.3	
Liquidus Temp	[° C]	1494.48
Solidus Temp	[° C]	1494.38
Initial Temp	[° C]	1495.
Latent Heat	[J/kgK]	272000.
Number of Elements		300.
Uniform Element Length [mm]		0.1

Artificial and non-physical thermal BC from B&W (slab surface quenched to 1000C), replaced by a convective BC with h=220000 [W/m²K]

Simple calculation to get h, from surface energy balance at initial instant of time:

$$-k\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} = h(T - T_{\infty})$$
 and for finite values $33\frac{495}{0.0001} = h$ 495



Temperature and Stress Distributions for 1D Solidification

Abaqus and Analytical (Weiner-Boley)Solutions



• The numerical representations from MATLAB and Abaqus produces almost identical results

• Model is numerically consistent and has acceptable mesh



Add more complexity (physics) to the Abaqus model by means of user subroutines

Applied instantaneous Heat Flux from a real plant measurements:

$$q(MW/m^{3}) = \begin{cases} 5 - 0.2444t (\text{sec.}) & t \le 1.0 \text{ sec.} \\ 4.7556t (\text{sec.})^{-0.504} & t > 1.0 \text{ sec.} \end{cases}$$



Elastic modulus decreases as temperature increase:



The only difference between solid and liquid is a large creep rate in the liquid

$$\overset{\cdot}{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} 10^{-11} (|\sigma| - \sigma_{yield})^2 & if \quad |\sigma| > \sigma_{yield} \\ 0 & if \quad |\sigma| \le \sigma_{yield} \end{cases}$$

Elastic visco-plastic model of Kozlowski for solidifying plain-carbon steel as our constitutive model: $= f(T(^{(n)}K)) - f(T(^{(n)}K))$

$$\dot{\varepsilon}(1/\operatorname{sec.}) = f(\%C) \left[\sigma(MPa) - f_1(T(°K))\varepsilon|\varepsilon|^{f_2(T(°K))-1} \right]^{f_3(T(°K))} \exp\left(-\frac{4.465 \times 10^4(°K)}{T(°K)}\right) \right]$$

where

 $f_1(T(°K)) = 130.5 - 5.128 \times 10^{-3} T(°K)$ $f_2(T(°K)) = -0.6289 + 1.114 \times 10^{-3} T(°K)$ $f_3(T(°K)) = 8.132 - 1.54 \times 10^{-3} T(°K)$ $f(\%C) = 4.655 \times 10^4 + 7.14 \times 10^4 \% C + 1.2 \times 10^5 (\%C)^2$



Temperature and Stress Distribution Elastic-visco-plastic model by Kozlowski



• Different residual stress values due to different creep rate function

• Lower temperatures due to real flux data



Comparison of Abaqus and CON2D for previous complex model

Element type
Number of elements
Number of nodes
Initial time step
RAM used
Wall clock normalized
to 1Ghz

CON2D
6 node triangular
400
1803
1.E-4
<1Gb

17 minutes

ABAQUS

4 node rectangular 300 603 1.E-11 6Gb

204 minutes





Conclusions and Future Work

- Nowadays, It is possible to perform numerical simulations of steel solidification process in the Continuous Casting Mold with multipurpose commercial finite element code-Abaqus
- 12 times more CPU and 6 times more memory resources are needed with Abaqus compared to in-house code CON2D for identical problem due to superior CON2D robust implicit-explicit integration scheme.
- Quantitatively results are matching well, qualitative differences are under investigation
- It is realistic to expect much better wall clocks both with CON2D and Abaqus on the newest NCSA High Performance Architectures (IBM Regatta, Linux IA-64 Clusters)
- If there are enough dofs, parallel Abaqus features can be applied (each increment solved in parallel)
- Move to 2D and perhaps 3D FE domains with Abaqus and to increase process understanding
- More Complexity (Physics) to the model: Internal BC with Ferrostatic Pressure, contact and friction between mold and shell, input mold distortion data, effects of superheat...
- Replace Abaqus native integration model and apply robust implict-explicit integration scheme form CON2D with another user defined subroutine UMAT



NCSA Terascale Linux Clusters

•1 TF IA-32 Cluster of Parallel PC-s

•512 1 GHz dual processor nodes •Myrinet 2000 interconnect between PC-s •5 TB of RAID storage

•1 TF IA-64 Cluster of Paralle Itanium PC-s

•164 800 MHz dual processor nodes

•Myrinet 2000 interconnect beween PC-s

•Can solve a million equations with million unknowns in less then a minute by performing 17*10⁹ floating point operation per second

> •Great Potential to solve large scale problems in computational fluid dynamics and computational solid mechanics !





NCSA machine room expansion

- capacity to 20 TF and expandable
 - dedicated September 5, 2001



New NCSA Capabilities: Coming Soon

•Shared memory systems IBM Regatta, Power 4

- •2+ TF of clustered SMP
- •32 SMP CPUS, 1.3 Ghz
- •large, 256 GB memory
- •AIX IBM Unix OS
- Perfect for engineering commercial software like:

Abaqus, Ansys, Fluent, LS-Dyna, Marc, PRO/E.

- Cluster expansion
 - •5 TF Pentium4 Linux cluster
- Secondary and tertiary storage
 - •500 TB secondary storage SAN
 - •3.4 PB tertiary storage







Computing in 21St Century, a story of TeraGrid Computing Resources: Anytime, Anywhere





Acknowledgments:

• Prof. Brian G. Thomas

- Chungsheng Li, PhD Candidate at MIE
- Caludio Ojeda, Visiting Scholar
- National Center for Supercomputing
 Applications