Natural Convection effects on Fluid Flow and Heat Transfer in Liquid Slag Layers of Continuous Casters

S. Sivaramakrishnan, B.G.Thomas & S.P.Vanka

University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign

Computational Domain with Mesh and Boundary Conditions



Pure Natural Convection



Contours of Horizontal Density Gradients (Calculated vs Experimental Interferogram)



Oertel measurement (1988)



FIDAP

Validation Using Experimental Results



Mixed Convection (Sub-critical Interface Velocity)



Forced Convection (Post-critical Interface Velocity)



Heat Flux vs Velocity, Viscosity & Conductivity



Fig 8. Heat Flux as a function ofm, k and U

Average Nusselt Number vs Shear velocity



Right Peak Nusselt Nos vs Velocity, Viscosity & Conductivity



Fig 9. Right Peak Nusselt number as a function of m, k and U

Avg Cell Aspect Ratio vs Velocity, Viscosity & Conductivity



Fig 10. Average Cell Aspect Ratio as a function of ,k and U

Nu Profile Uneveness vs Velocity, Viscosity & Conductivity



Fig 11. Relative uneveness of Nu profile as function of Up and k

Profile of Horizontal Velocity Through Thickness



Eye Center Location Above Bottom vs Interface Velocity



Maximum Roll Speed vs Interface Velocity



Flux Bottom Velocity vs Average Interface Shear Stress



Average interface shear stress vs Steel surface velocity



Flux layer bottom velocity vs Steel bulk velocity (Effect of flux layer thickness)



Flux layer bottom velocity vs Steel bulk velocity (Effect of flux layer viscosity)



Heat Flux vs Interface Velocity, Temperature Difference



Fig 16. Heat Flux as a function of U and **D** T for $\mathbf{m} = 0.51$ Pa-s and $\mathbf{k} = 10.18$ W/mK

Heat Flux vs Interface Velocity, Liquid Layer Thickness



Fig 17. Heat Flux as a function of U and H form = 0.51 Pa-s and k = 10.18 W/mK

Heat Flux vs Interface Velocity, Liquid Layer Thicknes



